

OPER Compute Summit Engineering Workshop October 30-31, 2014 Paris





SMR Directions: Data Center Applications

Jim Malina Western Digital Technologist - System Architecture

Outline

WD Approach for SMR for DC

- What is Host Managed SMR
- Differences with Host Aware
- Costs of Host Aware / Benefits of Host Managed
 DC Markets / Host Managed Enablement

Zone Block Devices

- Benefits
- Leadership
- Status



What Is SMR?

Conventional Recording



2



Can't rewrite track 1 without destroying data on track 2

Track width determined by writer width. Can't narrow the write width anymore



Shingled Magnetic Recording



SMR Background

Tracks Physically overlap

- Tracks are written sequentially
- Groups of overlapping tracks are partitioned into zones
- Zone targeting 256MB each (100s of tracks)
- Zones take seconds to write or read completely



SMR Question

Who owns responsibility to write physically sequential?

- HDD?
- HDD + Host?
- Host?

Which is Most Efficient for OCP?

- Host!

Why?

Host is content and system aware. HDD only knows about LBAs



Host Managed SMR

Host is aware of Zones & Zone Types

- Random Writeable
- Sequential Write Only



New Commands to report Zone Boundaries and Write Pointers Host writes at Write Pointer for Sequential Zones Only Drive does not do Read-Modify-Writes of Sequential Zones



Host Managed Compared to Host Aware

Host Aware has another zone type

- Sequential Write Preferred Zone
- Dynamic Mapping
- Indirection zone
 - Limited Resource (Media / SSD / DRAM / Metadata)
 - Indirection blends LBAs into the sequential preferred zone
 - Resource Recovered by Read Modify Write
- Out of order writes may change the state of a zone to use indirection resources

Costs of Host Aware

Costs of "Sequential Preferred" Zone

- Unpredictable Performance:
 - . At some point, LBAs need to be un-blended
 - . Latency penalties for indirection resource recovery,
 - . R-M-W takes seconds
 - . Performance will vary between vendors
- More Power
 - . Un-blending granularity is done by R-M-W of entire zone
 - . To retrieve all indirection resources, could require R-M-W many zones
- Increases Write Amplification
- Writes may be received by the drive out-of-order, with no host notification
 - · A failed write command may lead to this condition & non-predictable performance

Advantages of Host Managed

Benefits of Host Managed

- More host control over device behavior
- Command response times similar to conventional HDD
- Lower \$/GB
- **Simpler Device**

Capacity Storage Evolved

- Lower Postrong Fit with Host Managed SMR No Write Amplification
- If any of above is important then HM is the right choice!





Western Digital Technologies, Inc. All Rights Reserv

Engineering Workshop

ŴD

Host Managed Enablement - Evolution

First Generation (2011) – Single Zone (like tape)

- Enabled with Customer Application doing direct I/O
- LTFS + WD Driver
- Next Generation (2012)– Multiple zones
 - Enabled with NilFS
- Upcoming (Today)
 - Device Mapper Linux Community buy-in 3/2014
 - · WD is contributing SMR Simulator and Device Mapper assistance https://github.com/westerndigitalcorporation
 - Bcache for remapping
 - BtrFS / ZFS "SMR Host Managed Friendly"
- Suse (Dr. Hannes Reinecke) demonstrating significant progress http://git.kernel.org/cgit/linux/kernel/git/hare/scsi-devel.git/commit/?h=zac.v2&id=1792a4c3e928cce98d516c7bc63e935f09a33313

Zone Bock Devices (ZBC / ZAC)

WD Leadership

- WD championed exposing zone structures on Media in 2012 @T10 WD Wrote Specification
- WD is standards editor (ATA/SCSI) Curtis Stevens
- Multiple development partners embracing and moving forward

Benefits

- Greater density @ CMR performance (\$/GB) for ZAC compliant hosts
- Enables Optimum Performance/Power/Workload highly aligned with Host Managed
- Better consistency across vendors for SMR

Status

- Proposals nearly complete
- ZBC Letter Ballot January 2015– Standard is stable
- ZAC (SATA) expected to follow by 2-4 months



